(134)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH :: BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI S.R. NAYAK, CJ.

WRIT PETITION No. 2613 OF 1991

PETITIONERS :

1. Dileep Singh, Aged 40 years,
S/o. Shri Gyan Singh,
R/o. Shyam Nagar,
Raipur (M.P.)

2. Khajan Singh, Aged 75 years,
S/o. Shri Hakam Singh,
Station Road, Raipur,
Distt. Raipur, M.P.

3. Gyan Singh, S/o. Shri Hakam Singh,
Aged 70 years, Station Road, Raipur,
Distt. Raipur M.P.

4. Gurucharan Singh, Aged 55 years,
S/o. Shri Hakam Singh, Station Road,
Raipur, Distt. Raipur, M.P.

5. Raghuvir Singh,
Aged 46 years, S/o. Shri Khajan Singh,
Station Road, Raipur, Distt. Raipur M.P.

6. Jaspal Singh, Aged 35 years,
S/o. Shri Khajan Singh, Station Road,
Raipur, Distt. Raipur, M.P.

7. Harjeet Singh, Aged 34 years,
S/o. Gurucharan Singh, Station Road,
Raipur, M.P.

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of M.P., through the
Secretary, Department ofRevenue,
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

2. Commissioner of Revenue, Raipur,
Distt. Raipur (M.P.)

3. Competent Authority, Raipur,
Distt. Raipur M.P.

4. Sardar Singh, Aged 60 years,
S/o. Shri Hakam Singh, Station Road,
Raipur, Distt. Raipur, M.P.

5. Preetam Singh,
Aged 41 years, S/o. Shri Sardar Singh,
R/o.Narmadapara, Raipur,
Distt. Raipur, M.P.

6. Harcharan Singh, Aged 33 years,
S/o. Shri Sardar Singh, R/o. Station Road,
Raipur, Distt. Raipur M.P.

7. Smt. Krishnawantibai,
Aged about 55 years, W/o. Sardar Singh,
R/o. Station Road, Raipur,
Distt. Raipur M.P.

8. Smt. Ranjeet Kaur,

9. Smt. Charanjeet Kaur

10. Smt. Indrajeet Kaur

11. Smt. Paramjeet Kaur

(Nos. 8 to 11 residents of C/o. Preetam Singh
Chhabra, Narmadapara, Raipur, Distt. Raipur M.P.)

Present : Mr. Manindra Shrivastava, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Amrito Das, learned counsel for the petitioners.
Mr. Ravish Agrawal, learned Advocate General with Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State of Chhattisgarh.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 8th December 2005)

Heard.

This writ petition is directed against the proceedings initiated under the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act (in short Act),1976. By virtue of Section 4 of the Act, the proceedings stood abated on the date the repeal act came into force inasmuch as admittedly, as on that date, the possession of the subject land remained with the holders of the land.

In that view of the matter, I dismiss the writ petition as having become infructuous.

Sd/-
Chief Justice

Brajesh